



Subject:	DfI Accessible Parking Bay Proposals
Date:	11 th August 2021
Reporting Officer:	Alistair Reid, Strategic Director of Place & Economy
Contact Officer:	Dermot O’Kane, Principal Planning Officer

Restricted Reports	
Is this report restricted?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?	
After Committee Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
After Council Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
Some time in the future	<input type="checkbox"/>
Never	<input type="checkbox"/>

Call-in	
Is the decision eligible for Call-in?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

1.0	Purpose of Report or Summary of Main Issues
1.1	To inform the Committee of two Department for Infrastructure (DfI) consultations relating to accessible/disabled park bay proposals within the city.
2.0	Recommendations
2.1	The Members of the Committee are asked to recommend that, in accordance with the Council decision of 4 th May, the Chief Executive exercise her delegated authority to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Note the two proposed schemes.
3.0	Main report
3.1	<u>Consultations</u> Consultations on proposals for two accessible/disabled parking bay schemes have been received from DfI.

	<p>St Jude's Parade</p> <p>3.2 Dfl propose to remove an accessible/disabled parking bay outside No. 26 St Jude's Parade and provide an accessible/disabled parking bay outside Nos. 24 and 28 St Jude's Parade. This proposal is in response to the bay outside No. 26 no longer being required and two subsequent applications from local residents for accessible parking bays outside Nos. 24 and 28.</p> <p>80 Willowbank Gardens</p> <p>3.3 Dfl propose to remove an accessible/disabled parking bay outside No. 80 Willowbank Gardens as it is no longer required.</p> <p>3.4 These proposals will contribute to the provision of accessible parking and/or on-street parking in the respective localities and in the case of St Jude's Parade should provide improved accessibility for motorists with limited mobility. It is recommended that these proposed schemes are supported by the Council.</p> <p><u>Financial & Resource Implications</u></p> <p>3.5 None associated with this report.</p> <p><u>Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment</u></p> <p>3.6 None associated with this report.</p>
4.0	Appendices – Documents attached
	Appendix 1 – Maps showing the location and detail of the two proposals.